

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD AT 8.00PM ON MONDAY 17TH AUGUST 2026 AT THE CIVIC HALL

PRESENT

Chairman: Cllr L A Clarke

Cllrs: K Booth, S Lees, P Oakes, L Podmore, J Saunders and T Swatridge.

Officers in attendance: Haf Barlow (Town Clerk) and Sally Shaw (Admin Officer)

63. To note if anyone attending, or present, at the meeting is making, or intends to make, an audio recording or film of the meeting.

The Chair confirmed that the meeting is recorded for the purpose of minute taking and the recording is deleted when the draft minutes are agreed. There were no other declarations of a recording.

64. Questions from members of the public.

There were members of the public in attendance to discuss the following issues;

Jones Homes proposal to build a development of 130 homes on land off Glastonbury Drive, "Glastonbury Fold"

London Road active travel scheme plans.

RESOLVED: The Chair proposed the following item be moved up the agenda and discussed as the next item of business:

"To consider a response to the public consultation for the proposed "Glastonbury Fold" proposal off Glastonbury Drive." (NC)

65. To consider a response to the public consultation for the proposed "Glastonbury Fold" development off Glastonbury Drive.

Ms Lilian Burns was invited to speak as a representative of Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE) and gave a very detailed response to the proposal by Jones Homes to build a development of 130 houses on Greenbelt land, off Glastonbury drive. CPRE will be objecting in the strongest possible terms with a host of reasons including the destruction of this valuable piece of Greenbelt land between Poynton and Hazel Grove. Councillors thanked Ms Burns for her contribution to the meeting. Members of the public asked the Council various questions as to the exact location of the proposed build, the grading of the site and the decision-making process ahead. The members of the public present opposed the development.

Councillors discussed the proposed draft response to the pre-planning consultation prepared by the Chair.

RESOLVED: Poynton Town Council does not support the proposed building of a housing estate on this Green Belt land for the reasons below:

1. The site is part of the Green Belt, so development is contrary to Local Plan policies PG3 (Green Belt) and PG6 (Open Land). Jones Homes have not demonstrated any “very special circumstances” that might justify building in the Green Belt.
2. The ARUP review of the Green Belt in 2015 considered this land as Parcel PY14, described as *“Land north of Glastonbury Drive, west of London Road North and south of Barlowfold.”* With regard to Purpose 2 of the Green Belt as stated in the NPPF: Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another, ARUP concluded that this site makes a Major Contribution, noting that: *“The parcel forms part of a narrow gap between Poynton and the Greater Manchester urban area (Hazel Grove). Removal of this parcel would compromise the openness of the Green Belt between Poynton and the conurbation. The reduction in the gap would be particularly noticeable as the eastern edge of the parcel is adjacent to the main route between Poynton and Hazel Grove.”*
3. The ARUP review also noted that Parcel PY14 makes a Significant Contribution to Purpose A of the Green Belt, as it checks the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas. The report notes *“The parcel plays a very important role in preventing ribbon development extending along London Road North and does not have strong boundaries to the west and north.”*
4. Since ARUP reported in 2015, the strategic importance of this parcel of land has increased. The draft Stockport Local Plan has identified several areas of open land on the southern edge of Hazel Grove for development. This land in Stockport MBC is directly north of PY14, so its development will materially reduce the gap between the built-up areas of Hazel Grove and Poynton. The importance of the site in preventing the two towns from merging has therefore increased since 2015. An updated assessment will conclude that the contribution of parcel PY14 to preventing neighbouring towns from merging has therefore increased.
5. Development sites in the Stockport Local Plan bordering Poynton to the north include HOM 2.19 Jacksons Lane West (170 houses), HOM 2.20 Jacksons Lane East (270 houses) and HOM 2.37 Norbury Hall / Land off Chester Road (Hazel Grove) (300 houses). The latter site is directly north of parcel PY14. Site HOM 2.26 Dairyground Farm, Bramhall (500 houses) directly abuts the border with Poynton to the north-west. It can be seen that Stockport Council’s planned developments will reduce significantly the existing gap between the built-up areas of Poynton and Hazel Grove and Bramhall.
6. As the site is confirmed by the professional ARUP review as making a Significant Contribution to purpose 1 and a Major Contribution to Purpose 2 of the Green Belt, preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another, the site is not part of the so-called grey belt and should be protected from development.

7. **Highways** - the development would increase traffic at the existing unsatisfactory junction of Glastonbury Drive and London Road North. There is a particular risk to traffic turning right (towards the centre of Poynton), which has to wait in the centre of the carriageway for a gap in traffic driving south from Hazel Grove.
8. **Flood Risk** - the Environment Agency's Flood Risk Map shows that the site is at severe risk should there be a breach in the dam at the nearby Poynton Pool (a reservoir). Cheshire East considers this flood risk sufficient to want to make various changes to the dam at great expense, so clearly the site is unsuitable for development (see application 23/4152M). The Planning Officer's report for 23/4152M stated "Poynton is a high-risk dam, with risk of overtopping ...". Building houses in an area at direct risk of flooding is contrary to Local Plan policy SE13 (Flood Risk and Water Management).
9. The government's approach is designed to ensure that areas at little or no risk of flooding from any source are developed in preference to areas at higher risk. This means avoiding, so far as possible, development in current and future medium and high flood risk areas (considering all sources of flooding).
10. The site is located within an area at risk of reservoir flooding from Poynton Pool, which is a high risk reservoir. Whilst there are proposals to improve the flood resilience and safety of the reservoir, the risk of flooding to the site would remain. As the area is at risk of flooding and the flood risk is a strong reason to refuse the proposal the land is excluded from being grey belt, and the proposal is inappropriate development in the Green Belt. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policies PG3 and SE13 of the Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy (adopted 2017), Policy ENV16 of the Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (adopted 2022) and paragraphs 143, 154, 155, 174 and 175 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2025).
11. London Road North has a very limited bus service (bus 891) – one bus a day in each direction, Monday to Friday on school days only. The site is at least 0.6 mile from the nearest food shop (Aldi on London Road South). Access would inevitably be by private car. The application is therefore contrary to Policy CO1 of the Local Plan. The development would also not be in a sustainable location and is contrary to paragraph 155(c) of the Framework. The proposal is therefore inappropriate development in the Green Belt.
12. There is also additional harm to the Green Belt arising from conflict with Green Belt purpose C – safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. The ARUP Review confirmed that the site makes a Major Contribution to Purpose 3, noting: that *"The brook on the western boundary and driveway to the north do not provide robust boundaries to prevent further encroachment in the future. ... the land remains open in character, relatively divorced from the urban area, and plays an important role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment."*
13. There are mature trees along Poynton Brook and along the site boundary with Glastonbury Drive. The proposed development would lead to significant loss of trees, contrary to Local Plan Policy SE5 (Trees, Hedgerows and Woodlands).

14. Impact on Wildlife and Protected Species: Development of the site will also lead to a loss of habitat for wildlife, including protected species such as bats. It would be contrary to SADPD Policies ENV1 (Ecological network) and ENV2 (Ecological implementation).

15. The land north of Glastonbury Drive has been identified in the Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document as Site PYT2. This site is allocated for sports and leisure development, subject to a planning obligation for the relocation and redevelopment of existing facilities at the Poynton Sports Club site. With the site unsuitable for housing or commercial development due to the high flood risk, playing fields may be a suitable alternative.

16. Conclusion: Very special circumstances to permit development in the Green Belt do not exist. The application does not comply with Local Planning policies, including:

- Cheshire East Local Plan: SD1 and SD2 (Sustainable development), SE1 (Design), PG3 (Green Belt), PG6 (Open Countryside), SE3 (Biodiversity), SE4 (Landscape), SE5 (Trees, Hedgerows and Woodlands), SE13: Flood risk and water management and CO1: Sustainable travel and transport.**
- Poynton Neighbourhood Plan: HOU7 (Environmental Considerations), EGB1 (Surface water management), EGB3: (Natural and Historic Environment), EGB7 (Landscape Enhancement), EGB8 (Protection of Rural Landscape Features) and EGB9 (Nature Conservation).**
- Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (SADPD) policies: GEN 1 (Design principles), PG9 (Settlement boundaries) PG11 (Green Belt boundaries), ENV1 (Ecological network), ENV2 (Ecological implementation), ENV 3 (Landscape character), ENV5 (Landscaping), ENV6 (Trees, hedgerows and woodlands), ENV16 (Surface water) and INF3: Highways safety and access.**

A member of the public wished to ask questions about the Active Travel Scheme on London Road that is referred to in the Jones home flyer. He was aware that engineers had been seen surveying the road but no details had been published. He also asked who was funding any new scheme.

The Town Clerk responded to this enquiry to say she had attended a meeting with Cheshire East Council and members of the Accessibility Working Group. At the meeting, it was confirmed that Cheshire East is looking at developing an Active Travel Scheme on London Road North. It is at a very early stage, and it is hoped that details of the draft proposal will be discussed at the meeting of the full Council in September if they are available.

66. Apologies for absence.

None

67. Declarations of disclosable pecuniary or other interests.

There were no declarations made.

68. To approve the minutes of the Planning & Environment Committee meeting on 27th July 2026.

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Planning & Environment Committee meeting held on the 27th of July were approved. (NC)

69. To consider the report on the planning application refusal for the land at Glastonbury Triangle 25/4892/PIP.

Councillor Clarke gave an overview of his report on the refusal of the planning application for the land at Glastonbury Triangle 25/4892/PIP.

RESOLVED – The Town Council welcomes the decision to reject the planning application 25/4892/PIP land at Glastonbury Triangle and that the report is noted, in particular the issues of the flood risk from Poynton Pool and the impact this will have on proposed housing developments and the Local Plan (NC)

70. To receive and consider the complaint response from Cheshire East regarding the sale of the former Vernon Infants school.

The site of the former Vernon's Infants school has been marketed as a development site by Cheshire East Council, in direct contradiction to the wishes of local residents in the Poynton neighbourhood plan. Poynton Town Council have lodged a complaint with Cheshire East Council to ask why this decision was taken, why the Town Council were not consulted and to understand what alternatives are open to the site. At this stage the complaint has been noted by Cheshire East Council and have suggested a meeting with the cabinet member responsible, Cllr Mick Warren. No invitation had been received to date

RESOLVED –That the response from Cheshire East to the complaint made by Poynton Town Council, regarding the sale of the former Vernon Infants school, is received. If no meeting invitation is received, the Town Clerk should contact Cllr Mick Warren to request a meeting. (NC)

71. To receive and consider the action log for 2026-2027.

The Town Clerk gave updates on items on the action log.

Resolved: That the action log for 2026-2027 is received. (NC)

72. To consider the draft response to Cheshire East Council regarding the legal implications of preparing an interim housing supply statement.

Adlington has agreed to fund up to half the cost of the legal advice on the interim housing statement. Bollington will decide whether to contribute at their next Council meeting in early September. It was noted that in the recent planning decision at Prestbury Road, Macclesfield, the lack of five year housing supply was critical in the decision made by the Planning Inspector to approve the development.

Councillors felt that the need to create an interim housing supply statement with an expert planning solicitor was more critical than ever in light of the number of proposed developments in and around Poynton.

RESOLVED: That the Clerk instructs Shoesmiths solicitors to prepare legal advice on an Interim Housing Policy Statement at a cost of £1,800. The maximum contribution from the Town Council will be £900.(NC)

73. To receive and consider the response from Cheshire East's Housing Option Manager on the questions raised by the Committee regarding the Common Allocations Policy.

Questions were asked of the Cheshire East Housing Option Manager about allocating social housing within Poynton and seeking assurances that it does go to tenants as outlined in the policy. They were also asked about Wythenshawe Community Housing Group attempting to remove the local connection requirement at Hazelfields, Poynton and were reassured that this would not be allowed and would be strongly opposed.

RESPONSE : The response from Cheshire East's Housing Option Manager on the questions raised by the Committee regarding the Common Allocations Policy are received. (NC)

74. To receive an update from Cheshire East ward councillors on any Planning & Environment issues concerning Cheshire East Council that may impact on the Town Council.

Cllr J. Saunders confirmed that there are no current issues to note that may impact on the Town Council.

75. To note that application 26/2626/PRIOR-3T prior approval for the proposed change of use from commercial property (Offices) to a special educational school has been withdrawn.

RESOLVED: That the withdrawal of application 26/2626/PRIOR-3T prior approval for the proposed change of use from commercial property (Offices) to a special educational school is noted

76. To receive a copy of the Local Plan Scoping Consultation and a copy response to the consultation from CPRE (Campaign to Protect Rural England) and to agree whether to respond to the consultation using the ONH draft response.

RESPONSE: That a copy of the Local Plan Scoping Consultation and a copy response to the consultation from CPRE (Campaign to Protect Rural England) are received. The Chair will prepare a draft response, which will be circulated to members for approval under SO51 before the deadline of 1st September 2026. (NC)

77. To receive and consider a verbal update from the Clerk on Tree Protection Orders (TPOs) for the Inclines.

The Clerk met with a Trees Officer at Cheshire East and the Town Council's arborist who agree that the TPO on the Inclines should be revised to a Woodland category. The Cheshire East Trees Officer has agreed to undertake the work to amend the TPO.

RESOLVED: That the report from the Clerk to change the classification of the trees on the Inclines to "Woodland" to give them enhanced protection is received. The clerk will continue to monitor the situation, advising Council when the reclassification has been completed by Cheshire East Council. (NC)

78. To receive a report on the following Cheshire East planning applications and to agree a response.

Application: 26/2420/HOUS

Location: 147 Dickens Lane, Poynton, SK12 1NZ

Proposal: Single storey side extension

RECOMMENDATION: No objection. (NC)

Application: 26/2726/PIP

Location: Land to North Side of Coppice Road, Poynton SK12 1SW

Proposal: Permission in principle for residential development of up to 2 dwellings.

RECOMMENDATION: Poynton Town Council objects to this application for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development is in the Green Belt and so contrary to Cheshire East Local Plan policy PG3, as it will result in a loss of openness. It is also contrary to Cheshire East Local Plan policies SD1, SD2, SE5, SE7, SE13 and CO1 and SADPD policies ENV1, ENV2, ENV6, ENV16 and HER1.
2. The previous appeal decision (APP/R0660/W/24/3341055) confirms that the site does not qualify as "limited infilling" under Local Plan policy PG3.
3. The applicants assert that the site is part of the so called "grey belt". However, the ARUP Green Belt Review of 2015 included the site as part of Parcel PY19: Land between Middlewood Road, Anson Road, Coppice Road and Shrigley Road North. The land was described as making a Significant Contribution to purpose 1 of the Green Belt - To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas. The site therefore does not qualify as "grey belt" as it fulfils purpose 1 of the Green

Belt. Building on this site will result in urban sprawl, ribbon development and intrusive urbanisation.

- 4. The applicants have failed to address the issues raised by the Inspector when rejected the earlier application for a smaller version of the site (APP/R0660/W/24/3341055). The Inspector noted that “the appeal site is not within an otherwise continuous and largely uninterrupted built frontage of several dwellings visible in the street scene, but rather the size of the frontage of the appeal site along with the generous undeveloped space to either side results in a very substantial gap between existing buildings. The appeal proposal would not therefore fill an obvious and small gap.”**
- 5. The Inspector also concluded: “The introduction of new built form to an undeveloped parcel of land, which forms part of a larger agricultural field, would result in encroachment into the countryside. This would conflict with one of the five purposes of the Green Belt which seeks to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment ... the proposal would result in harm to the openness of the Green Belt and would conflict with one of its purposes as set out in paragraph 143 of the Framework.”**
- 6. The Inspector’s final conclusion, “that the proposal conflicts with the development plan when considered as a whole and there are no material considerations that outweigh the identified harm and that warrant a decision other than in accordance with the development plan” remain valid.**
- 7. The plans would damage the setting of a Heritage Site, the 18th Century Smithfield Cottages adjoining the site to the west. The cottages are included as unlisted Heritage Assets in the Poynton Neighbourhood Plan, so the proposed development is contrary to Policies EGB15 and EGB20 of the Neighbourhood Plan, Policy SE7 (Historic Environment) of the Cheshire East Local Plan and SADPD Policy HER1. These plans are also contrary to Poynton Neighbourhood Plan policy HOU7 (Environmental Concerns).**
- 8. Highways Issues - The Town Council urges that a qualified Highways Engineer visits the site to conduct a full review of the highway issues. The proposed site plan shows visibility splays that appear inadequate to ensure safe egress from the site onto a busy road that carries significant local traffic and vehicles going to Pott Shrigley and Bollington.**
- 9. Sustainability: This is not a sustainable site. The bus service is limited to one bus every hour (no late evening services) and most journeys will be by private car. The nearest shop is about 2 miles away. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy CO1 of the Cheshire East Local Plan.**
- 10. Impact on Trees and Hedges: The site has a hedge bordering Coppice Road and includes a large oak tree near its western boundary. These will be endangered by any building on the site. Development will be contrary to Local Plan Policy SE 5 (Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland), SADPD Policy ENV 6 (Trees, hedgerows and woodland implementation) and Poynton Neighbourhood Plan policy EGB8 (Rural Landscape).**

- 11. Impact on Wildlife and Protected Species:** Development of the site will also lead to a loss of habitat for wildlife, including protected species such as bats. It would be contrary to SADPD Policies ENV1 (Ecological network) and ENV2 (Ecological implementation)
- 12. Coal Mining Risk:** The Town Council notes the Coal Authority's opinion that the site falls within a development High Risk area, due to probable shallow coal mine workings and a thick coal seam outcrop, which may have been worked from the surface. Possible risks include ground instability and emission of mine gases. We support the Coal Authority's recommendations for intrusive site investigations by qualified persons.
- 13. Flood Risk -** There was extensive flooding in Poynton during June 2016 and July 2019. Smithfield Cottages were flooded. Building on open land and increased run-off from the new houses will increase the risk of flooding both locally and downhill in Poynton village. The Coal Authority has also expressed concern at the possible impact of a SUDS system on the coal mining risks. The applicants have not provided a flood risk report. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy SE13 of the Cheshire East Local Plan and SADPD Policy ENV16.
- 14. Utilities -** Public utilities are under strain in the semi-rural area of Higher Poynton. Local residents experience frequent electricity cuts, while residents of Coppice Road have complained of sewage backing up into their houses. Both the electricity and sewer infrastructure are old and struggle to cope with increased development and the conversion of former commercial or agricultural buildings into housing. The applicants make no proposals to address these issues. The development is therefore contrary to Cheshire East Local Plan Policy SD1, section 4:
"Development should wherever possible ... provide appropriate infrastructure to meet the needs of the local community including: ... water; wastewater; and energy." (NC)

Application: 26/2668/HOUS

Location: 41 Woodside Lane, Poynton, SK12 1BB

Proposal: Proposed first floor front extension

RECOMMENDATION: Poynton Town Council notes that the first-floor extension will increase the massing of the house and have some prominence, as the house faces people walking or driving into the estate along Woodside Lane. There must be some loss of light to nearby properties. We also note the objections from 42 Woodside Lane.

The Town Council urges the Planning Officer to visit the site and ensure the proposed extensions are not unneighbourly to nearby properties, are in keeping with the character of the existing properties on Woodside Lane and comply with relevant planning policies, including:

- **Cheshire East Local Plan: SD1 (Sustainable development), SD2 (Sustainable development) and SE1 (Design)**
- **Poynton Neighbourhood Plan: HOU11 (Design), HOU13 and HOU14 (Extensions)**
- **Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (SADPD) policies HOU 11 (Extensions and alterations), HOU12 (Amenity) and HOU13 (Residential Standards). (NC)**

Application: 26/2656/CLEUD Certificate of Lawful Use / Development - Existing

Location: Wish Dental Limited, 62 - 66 Park Lane, Poynton, SK12 1RE

Proposal: Certificate of lawful development for the completed replacement of an AC unit on the first-floor rear elevation of Wish Dental.

RECOMMENDATION: The Air Conditioning unit is directly opposite the Cedarwood retirement apartments. This equipment can potentially run for 24 hours a day and will inevitably produce noise. This will be more noticeable at night, when background noise from traffic and other causes is lower.

The Environmental Health Officer should be asked to review the application and ensure that it complies with planning policy, including:

- **Local Plan Policy SE12 (Pollution, Land Contamination and Land Instability). Policy SE12 includes “noise” in the list of pollution which unacceptably affects the natural and built environment or detrimentally affects amenity or causes harm.**
- **Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (SADPD) Policy ENV15 (New development and existing uses) is relevant. Paragraph 4.94 states: “... if a new noise-generating use is proposed close to existing noise sensitive uses, such as residential development or businesses, the onus is on the new use to make sure the building or activity is designed to protect existing users or residents from the impacts.”**
- **Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (SADPD) policies HOU12 (Amenity) and HOU13 (Residential Standards). (NC)**

Application: 26/2694/CLPUD

Location: 3 Trafalgar Avenue, Poynton, SK12 1RU

Proposal: Lawful development certificate for new single-storey, side extension with side door access and connections into existing drainage runs. New covered porch to front door.

RECOMMENDATION: No Objection (NC)

Application: 26/2767/HOUS

Location: 18 Hepley Road, Poynton, SK12 1RP

Proposal: Proposed single storey rear extension

RECOMMENDATIONS: Poynton Town Council note that the proposed rear extension is only 300 mm / 1 foot from the side boundary with 20 Hepley Road. This is significantly less than the 1 metre gap normally required by SADPD Policy HOU13 and Poynton Neighbourhood Plan policy HOU14.

The Town Council urges the Planning Officer to visit the site and ensure the proposed extension is not unneighbourly to nearby properties and complies with relevant planning policies, including:

- Cheshire East Local Plan: SD1 (Sustainable development), SD2 (Sustainable development) and SE1 (Design)
- Poynton Neighbourhood Plan: HOU8 (Density and Site Coverage), HOU11 (Design), HOU13 and HOU14 (Extensions)
- Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (SADPD) policies HOU 11 (Extensions and alterations), HOU12 (Amenity) and HOU13 (Residential Standards).
- The applicant should be asked to resubmit the 3-D projection of the house with the proposed extension to show also the attached neighbouring property at 20 Hepley Road. (NC)

Application: 26/2831/CLPUD

Location: 52 Coppice Road, Poynton, SK12 1SL

Proposal: Certificate of lawful proposed development of a single storey rear extension

RECOMMENDATION: No objection (NC)

Application: 26/2882/HOUS

Location: 28 Lindisfarne Drive, Poynton, SK12 1EW

Proposal: Two storey rear extension and first floor side extension over existing garage.

RECOMMENDATION: Poynton Town Council has no objection in principle to this application, providing the Planning Officer is satisfied that it is not unneighbourly to nearby houses and complies with the relevant planning policies, including:

- Cheshire East Local Plan: SD1 (Sustainable development), SD2 (Sustainable development) and SE1 (Design)
- Poynton Neighbourhood Plan: HOU11 (Design) and HOU13 and HOU14 (Extensions)
- Cheshire East Site Allocations and Development Policies Document (SADPD) policies HOU 11 (Extensions and alterations), HOU12 (Amenity) and HOU13 (Residential Standards). (NC)

Application: 26/2862/LBC

Location: 96 Worth Clough, Middlewood Road, Poynton, SK12 1SH

Proposal: Listed Building Consent Application to replace two **UPVC** sliding sash windows inserted into front elevation without the benefit of LBC with two new **timber** sliding sash windows.

RECOMMENDATION: No objection (NC)

79. To agree any communication messages from this meeting.

RESOLVED: That the following Communication messages were agreed:

- Poynton Town Councils response to the proposed “Glastonbury Fold development Jones Homes:”

- Local Plan scoping consultation response to be shared when agreed

80. To resolve to exclude the press and public on the grounds that publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.

RESOLVED: To exclude the press and public on the grounds that publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted is accepted. (NC)

81. To receive and consider the draft report from ONH as part of the Neighbourhood Plan review and agree any recommendations from the Clerk.

RESOLVED: That the report from ONH is received and the Clerk arranged a meeting of councillors to discuss the Neighbourhood Plan

82. To receive and consider a report from the Clerk on Poynton Pool.

RESOLVED: That the report from the Clerk on the ongoing discussions between Cheshire East Council, the reservoir engineers and Friends of Poynton Pool is received

Meeting End Time 09.30 pm

Signature

Date